

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,937	TOYOSHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai.</u>	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>G Gregory Schivley.</u>	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2006</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
<i>none</i>		
Claims discussed:		
1, 8, 9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
<i>Amendment to claim 1 omit the limitation of Nb. Attorney agrees to insert this limitation by examiner amendment. Furthermore, claims 8 and 9 are amended to recite the method steps in an active form.</i>		
Part III.		
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)